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Reduction of laser beam spray at 0.527 µm in an ignition scale length plasma with temporal beam smoothing
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We have measured the effect of laser smoothing by spectral dispersion (SSD) on beam spray, transmission and deflection of a 2ω (527 nm) high intensity (10^{15} W/cm^2) interaction beam through an underdense large scale length plasma. We observe a 40% reduction of the beam spray when SSD is used, consistent with modeling by a fluid laser-plasma interaction code (pF3d). We measured a decrease in beam transmission with increasing laser intensity, consistent with the onset of parametric instabilities.

PACS numbers: 52.38.-r, 52.38.Hb, 52.38.Dx, 52.35.Mw

Indirect drive inertial confinement fusion in laser facilities such as the National Ignition Facility (NIF) requires a highly symmetric illumination of the hohlraum walls that enclose the ignition capsule [1]. As the laser beams propagate through the gasfilled hohlraum a large scale length plasma is formed. Absorption and parametric scattering processes in the large scale length plasma reduce the effective laser energy on the hohlraum wall. Plasma induced beam deflection and spray due to self-focusing and filamentation influence the intensity distribution of the laser beams and can have important effects on x-ray drive, capsule symmetry and hence fusion yield [2, 3].

Most previous experiments on laser plasma interactions related to inertial fusion have concentrated on frequency tripled light (3ω, 351 nm) [4–14]. Frequency-doubled light (2ω, 527 nm) is also being explored as a higher gain option for indirect-drive ignition because of the possibility of extracting more laser energy [15]. Understanding beam transmission and spray at 2ω is therefore crucial to assess 2ω ignition experiments and to develop predictive laser plasma interaction capabilities.

In this letter, we show that temporal beam smoothing by spectral dispersion (SSD) considerably reduces the spray of a green (0.527 µm) high intensity laser beam in a large scale length laser produced plasma. Angularly resolved beam transmission measurements demonstrate that spray strongly depends on the laser intensity and that the fraction of laser energy inside the original f-cone of the beam can be increased by a factor of 1.7 with temporal smoothing. We obtain a good quantitative agreement with modeling by a fluid laser-plasma interaction code (pF3d) both in terms of the absolute beam transmission and beam spray.

The experiments were performed at the Omega laser facility [16] using a 2ω interaction beam [17] and the transmitted beam diagnostic (TBD) that was commissioned for the experiments described here. The large scale length plasma is created by heating a 2.4 mm by 2.75 mm gasbag target [7] with 39 defocused heater beams at 3ω, delivering a total energy of 10.5 kJ in a 1 ns square pulse. The hydrocarbon gas filling of the gasbag to about 1 atm results in an electron density (n_e) around 14% of the critical density for 527 nm light (n_c = 5.5·10^{20} cm^{-3}). This corresponds to the current 2ω NIF hohlraum design gas density [15]. The heater beams are distributed in 6 different cones on both sides of the target in order to provide maximum symmetry. Gated x-ray images of the heated bag and Thomson-scattering measurements show that a homogeneous plasma is formed after a few 100 ps within two blast waves moving inward due to the ablation of the polyimid skin of the bag [18].

The 1-ns-long probe beam has a variable energy between 20 J and 400 J and is spatially smoothed with a distributed phase plate giving a vacuum spot diameter of about 200 μm and an intensity up to 10^{15} W/cm^2. It turns on 500 ps after the start of the heater beams. The
beam can further be temporally smoothed by spectral dispersion [19] by applying an oscillating RF field to an electro-optic crystal that modulates the phase of the seed laser pulse. This adds up to 11 Å bandwidth (at 1ω) to the narrow linewidth of the laser which is then dispersed with a grating.

The TBD consists of a fused silica curved 3” diameter bare-surface reflector, mounted 23 cm behind target chamber center (tcc) on a remote controlled diagnostic arm installed in one of the target insertion modules. The mirror collects and reflects transmitted light within twice the f/6.7 cone of the original beam through a window to a detector assembly outside the vacuum chamber (Fig. 1). The concave mirror (R=37 cm) focuses the divergent beam behind the target to a focus inside the vacuum chamber and produces a divergent f/13 beam with a diameter of 3.8 cm at the chamber port. An aspheric lens images the TBD collection mirror onto a Lambertian diffuser plate with an optical magnification of 1.7:1. A second 4% splitter reflects a small fraction of the beam onto the diffuser plate, while the remaining beam energy is measured in a full aperture calorimeter filtered for 2ω light (Fig. 1).

Time integrated two-dimensional near-field images of the transmitted light on the diffuser plate are recorded with a CCD camera through a 2ω bandpass filter (10 nm FWHM). The images show the intensity distribution on the mirror behind the target within 8.5° around the beam axis (twice the initial f/6.7 cone) and are used to measure beam spray and deflection. A fast photodiode (5 GHz bandwidth) records the temporal pulseshape of the transmitted light at 527±5 nm. All three detectors are absolutely calibrated in situ using a low energy (20 J) 2ω laser beam [Fig. 2(a)] to allow independent measurements of the total transmitted energy. The TBD was used in combination with a full aperture backscatter diagnostic and a near backscatter imager [17, 20] which measured stimulated Brillouin and Raman backscattered light (Fig. 1).

Figure 2(a) shows measured near-field images of the interaction beam for various intensities. At an intensity of ~10^{15} W/cm², the beam exhibits a large spray outside the initial f/6.7 cone (dashed inner circle) reaching the edge of the TBD mirror (dotted outer circle). When 11 Å SSD is applied, the beam spray is reduced considerably (II). As a reference, Fig. (III) shows a low intensity calibration shot without plasma where the beam stays within the f/6.7 cone. At an intensity of ~5·10^{14} W/cm², beam spray is still visible (IV). Both 5 and 11 Å SSD provides a similar reduction in spray (V). At much lower intensity (1.5·10^{14} W/cm²), beam spray becomes negligible (VI).

Averaged radial intensity profiles [Fig. 2(b)] around the center of the deflected beam quantify the fraction of energy inside a solid angle defined by its half-cone angle ϕ. Figure 2(c) shows the fraction of transmitted energy inside the original f/6.7 cone as a function of intensity and temporal smoothing. At the highest intensities, only a third of the energy of the unsmoothed beam is contained inside the f/6.7 cone. With SSD it increases to 50%, reaching more than 80% for lower intensities (1.5·10^{14} W/cm²). At high intensities, when the beam spray is large enough to exceed the f/3.3 TBD collection mirror, a smooth fit to the wings of the intensity profile is applied to estimate the beam intensity distribution outside the mirror (ϕ > 8.6°). For an unsmoothed beam at 10^{15} W/cm² roughly 20% of the beam energy is outside the collection mirror. The error bars indicate the variation of beam spray for different directions (horizontal to vertical) due to the asymmetry of the spray. Figure 2(c) shows that for our plasma conditions, the spray of the 2ω interaction beam is controlled by either reducing its...
intensity or adding up to 11 Å of SSD bandwidth. Figure 2(a) also shows a small deflection of the beam of the order of α=1 degree to the right.

Two-dimensional (2D) cylindrical hydrodynamic simulations done with the code HYDRA [21], using a realistic beam pointing and focusing, give the evolution of the plasma density and temperature. A peak electronic temperature $T_e = 1.8 - 2$ keV is predicted in the 2 mm long density plateau ($n_e = 5.5 \cdot 10^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$). A simple refraction calculation was used to determine the deflection angle (defined as the angle between the center of the TBD mirror and the beam centroid viewed from tcc)

$$\alpha = \int_{\text{path}} ds \frac{1}{n(s)} \nabla n(s),$$

where $n(s)$ is the refractive index along the laser path $s$ and $\nabla n(s)$ the refractivity gradient perpendicular to the path. Using the calculated density profile and the actual interaction beam pointing (offset 200 µm from tcc) Eq. (1) predicts a 1.5° deflection of the beam to the right (in the coordinate system of Fig. 2(a)), in good agreement with the measured deflection between 1 and 1.7° to the right. No additional deflection was observed with increasing intensity, which is consistent with the negligible transverse flow in these targets [22].

Hydrodynamic profiles at 0.8 ns and 1.2 ns (the interaction beam is on from 0.5 ns to 1.5 ns) were used as input for more detailed laser-plasma interaction simulations, using pF3d [23]. The calculations include a coupled-wave model of backscattering instabilities with a nonlinear hydrodynamic module and a model for nonlocal heat conduction [23]. Ponderomotive and thermal filamentation are thus naturally present, driving density perturbations resulting in refraction, diffraction and beam spray. The simulations were done in a 2D-planar geometry, using the measured focal spot shape and a realistic model of spatial and temporal smoothing.

The calculated beam spray is shown in Fig. 2(c). The error bars correspond to the uncertainty in plasma parameters from the HYDRA simulations (by comparing various heat conduction models) and their evolution between 0.8 and 1.2 ns. The threshold and increase in beam spray with intensity is well reproduced, as well as the strong beneficial effect of temporal smoothing.

Figure 3 shows the propagation of the interaction beam through the plasma. Most of the filamentation and spray occurs in the blast wave first traversed by the interaction beam, around $z=0.7$ mm. In this short (200 µm) and dense ($n_e \approx 10^{21}$ cm$^{-3}$) plasma, a significant fraction of the speckles generated by the phase plate are above their critical power for self-focusing when the average intensity reaches $2 - 3 \cdot 10^{14}$ W·cm$^{-2}$, consistent with the onset of beam spray seen in Fig. 2(c).

Thermal filamentation contributes also to the beam spray. A simulation where thermal effects are turned off and only ponderomotive filamentation is considered [Fig. 2(a)(IV)] shows 50% of the energy inside the f/6.7 cone versus 40% when thermal effects are included. Indeed, the electron mean free path in the blast wave is $\lambda_e \approx 8$ µm, comparable to a speckle width $2\lambda_0 = 6$ µm, which suggests that heat conduction will not smooth out temperature (and therefore density) perturbations. It should be noted that at the highest intensity, the maximum local temperature perturbation reaches $\delta T_e/T_e = 0.35$, certainly close to the limit of validity for pF3d’s (linear) nonlocal heat conduction model. Also, these 2D simulations could overestimate the beam spray, as the lost transverse degree of freedom confines the plasma and limits heat conduction, leading to larger density and temperature perturbations. Three dimensional simulations of these large targets are not practical with current computers. As the filamentation is mainly ponderomotive at high intensity, the simulation remains in good agreement with the experiment.

In order to assess the efficiency of SSD in reducing beam spray, we estimate the time a speckle needs to create a density hole to be the transit time of an ion acoustic wave traveling at the speed of sound ($c_i = [(ZT_e + 3T_1)/M]^{1/2} \approx 0.4$ µm·ps$^{-1}$ for our plasma conditions, where $T_1$ is the ion temperature, $Z$ the ion charge state and $M$ its mass) through an f/6.7 speckle $T_{\text{trans}} = f\lambda_0/C_s \approx 9$ ps. This can be compared with the lifetime of a speckle, given by the laser correlation time $T_{\text{corr}} = 2\pi/\Delta\omega_{\text{SSD}} = 3.4(1.7)$ ps for 5 (11) Å of SSD bandwidth at 1.053 µm. This is consistent with the strong reduction of beam spray measured when several Å of SSD bandwidth are used as $T_{\text{corr}}$ becomes much shorter than $T_{\text{trans}}$.

Besides beam spray, the TBD measures the absolute interaction beam transmission. It decreases with inten-
sity from $\sim$20% at $1.5 \cdot 10^{14}$ W/cm$^2$ to $\sim$6% at $10^{15}$ W/cm$^2$ (Fig. 4). The error bars indicate the varia-

The full aperture backscatter measurements [20] of Brillouin and Raman backward scattered light show only modest amounts of SRS (well below 30%), as strong re-absorption occurs in the blast wave [20]. While SSD strongly reduces the beam spray, it only marginally affects SRS, as the instability develops on a much faster time scale ($< 1$ ps) than filamentation ($\approx 10$ ps). At the highest intensities around $10^{15}$ W/cm$^2$ where the beam spray is large enough to exceed the f/3.3 TBD collection mirror the total beam transmission can be up to 20% higher than the measured value, which is within the error bars of the measurement.

In summary we have measured a reduction by a factor of 1.7 of the spray of a green high intensity laser beam in an ignition scale plasma when SSD is used, which is consistent with fluid laser plasma interaction modeling. We have shown that the spray of the $2\omega$ interaction beam is controlled by either reducing its intensity or adding SSD to 11 Å of SSD bandwidth. At the same time, we observe a reduction of total beam transmission from 20% at low intensities to only 6% at $10^{15}$ W/cm$^2$, which is well reproduced by our modeling.
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